

CENTENE PHARMACY AND THERAPEUTICS DRUG REVIEW

1Q18 (January – February)

Alecensa®
GENERIC NAME Alectinib
MANUFACTURER Genentech, Inc.
DATE OF APPROVAL November 06, 2017
PRODUCT LAUNCH DATE Currently commercially available
REVIEW TYPE Review type 1 (RT1): New Drug Review Full review of new chemical or biologic agents
Review type 2 (RT2): New Indication Review Abbreviated review of new dosage forms of existing agents that are approved for a new indication or use
Review type 3 (RT3): Expedited CMS Protected Class Drug Review Expedited abbreviated review of Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services protected class drugs (anticonvulsants, antidepressants, antineoplastic, antipsychotics, antiretrovirals, and immunosuppressants)
Review type 5 (RT5): Abbreviated Review for Intravenous Chemotherapy Agents Abbreviated review for intravenous chemotherapy agents which are usually covered under the medical benefit
FDA APPROVED INDICATION(S) New/Revised Indication(s) Treatment of patients with anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK)-positive metastatic non-small cell

Current Indication(s)

RRAND NAME

Treatment of patients with anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK)-positive, metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) who have progressed on or are intolerant to crizotinib.

lung cancer (NSCLC) as detected by an FDA-approved test.¹



CENTENE PHARMACY AND THERAPEUTICS DRUG REVIEW

1Q18 (January – February)

OFF LABEL USES

Not applicable

CLINICAL EFFICACY

CLINICAL EF			
	Peters S, Camidge DR, Shaw AT, et al. Alectinib versus crizotinib in		
	untreated ALK-positive NSCLC ²		
	Phase 3 international open-label, randomized, active-controlled,		
Study Design	multicenter study (ALEX: NCT02075840) - patients with no prior systemic		
Study Design	therapy for ALK-positive, metastatic NSCLC were randomized 1:1 to		
	alectinib vs crizotinib.		
N	303		
Drug	Alectinib 600 mg PO BID vs crizotinib 250 mg PO BID		
Regimen	Alectinio 600 nig i O bib vs crizotinio 230 nig i O bib		
Primary	Investigator-assessed progression-free survival after 12 months		
Outcome(s)	investigator-assessed progression-nee survivar after 12 months		
Secondary	Independent review committee–assessed progression-free survival, time to		
Outcome(s)	CNS progression, objective response rate, and overall survival.		
	Compared with crizotinib, alectinib showed superior efficacy and lower		
	toxicity in primary treatment of ALK-positive NSCLC.		
	The rate of investigator-assessed progression-free survival was		
	significantly higher with alectinib than with crizotinib (12-month event-		
	free survival rate, 68.4% [95% confidence interval (CI), 61.0 to 75.9] with		
	alectinib vs. 48.7% [95% CI, 40.4 to 56.9] with crizotinib; hazard ratio for		
	disease progression or death, 0.47 [95% CI, 0.34 to 0.65]; P<0.001); the		
	median progression-free survival with alectinib was not reached (95% CI,		
	17.7 months to not estimable), as compared with 11.1 months (95% CI,		
Results	9.1 to 13.1) with crizotinib.		
	 The results for independent review committee–assessed 		
	progression-free survival were consistent with those for the		
	primary end point.		
	• A total of 18 patients (12%) in the alectinib group had an event of CNS		
	progression, as compared with 68 patients (45%) in the crizotinib group		
	(cause-specific hazard ratio, 0.16; 95% CI, 0.10 to 0.28; P<0.001). A		
	response occurred in 126 patients in the alectinib group (response rate,		
	82.9%; 95% CI, 76.0 to 88.5) and in 114 patients in the crizotinib group		
	(response rate, 75.5%; 95% CI, 67.8 to 82.1) ($P = 0.09$).		
# Withdrew	(100) (1 - 0.07).		
due to Lack	Not reported		
of Efficacy	1 tot Topolica		
# Withdrew			
due to	Grade 3 to 5 adverse events were less frequent with alectinib (41% vs. 50%		
Adverse	with crizotinib). No patients withdrew from alectinib due to adverse events; 2		
Effects	patients withdrew from crizotinib due to adverse events.		
Liicus	I .		



CENTENE PHARMACY AND THERAPEUTICS DRUG REVIEW 1Q18 (January – February)

CONTRAINDICATIONS

Not applicable

BLACK BOX WARNINGS

Not applicable

DRUG INTERACTIONS

Not applicable

ADVERSE REACTIONS

Incidence ≥20%: fatigue, constipation, edema, myalgia, and anemia.

DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION

600 mg PO BID

PRODUCT AVAILABILITY

Capsules: 150 mg

THERAPEUTIC ALTERNATIVES 3,4,5

Drug Name	USAGE REGIMEN	COMMENTS
Xalkori® (crizotinib)*	ALK positive NSCLC: 250 mg PO BID	 Labeled for first- and second-line treatment. NCCN: First-line treatment (1 if ALK rearrangement discovered prior to first-line chemotherapy; otherwise 2A); second-line treatment (2A).
Zykadia TM (ceritinib)*	ALK positive NSCLC: 750 mg PO QD	 Labeled for first- and second-line treatment. NCCN: First-line treatment (1 if ALK rearrangement discovered prior to first-line chemotherapy; otherwise 2A); second-line treatment (2A).
Alunbrig TM (bri gatinib)*	ALK positive NSCLC: 90 mg PO QDF for the first 7 days; if tolerated, increase to 180 mg PO QD	 Labeled for second-line treatment. NCCN: Second-line treatment (2A).

Therapeutic alternatives are listed as Brand name® (generic) when the drug is available by brand name only and generic (Brand name®) when the drug is available by both brand and generic.

^{*}Requires Prior Authorization



CENTENE PHARMACY AND THERAPEUTICS DRUG REVIEW

1Q18 (January – February)

Utilization Management Recommendation			
1) There is significant potential for inappropriate use and utilization management should be			
maintained for the following reason(s):			
Opportunity exists to obtain clinically significant medical or laboratory information			
necessary to determine appropriate use of the medication.			
i) NSCLC is ALK-positive and either recurrent or metastatic;			
ii) Recommended utilization management tool(s): (check all that apply)			
(1) Prior authorization			
(2) Quantity limits			
(3) Provider newsletter			
(4) Hard block (plan exclusion)			
(5) Messaging			
(6) Electronic step therapy			
(7) Clinical program			
iii) Alecensa currently requires a PA; recommend to maintain PA status.			
Product Comparison			
• As first-line systemic therapy, it would be clinically appropriate to require a trial of			
Alecensa prior to Xalkori or Zykadia or to provide equal access to all three agents.			
• As subsequent systemic therapy, it would be clinically appropriate to provide equal access			
to Alecensa, Xalkori and Zykadia.			
• If subsequent specifically to ALK tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy, it would be clinically			
appropriate to provide equal access to Alecensa, Xalkori, Zykadia, and Alunbrig.			
•			

REFERENCES

©2017 Centene Corporation. All rights reserved. All materials are exclusively owned by Centene Corporation and are protected by United States copyright law and international copyright law. No part of this publication may be reproduced, copied, modified, distributed, displayed, stored in a retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means, or otherwise published without the prior written permission of Centene Corporation. You may not alter or remove any trademark, copyright or other notice contained herein. Centene® and Centene Corporation® are registered trademarks exclusively owned by Centene Corporation.

¹ Alecensa Prescribing Information. South San Francisco, CA: Genentech USA, Inc. November 2017. Available at https://www.gene.com/download/pdf/alecensa prescribing.pdf. Accessed December 2017.

² Peters S, Camidge DR, Shaw AT, et al. Alectinib versus crizotinib in untreated ALK-positive non-small-cell lung cancer. New England Journal of Medicine. August 31, 2017; 377(9): 829-38. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1704795

³ Clinical Pharmacology [database online]. Tampa, FL: Gold Standard, Inc.; 2016. Available at: http://www.clinicalpharmacology-ip.com/. Accessed December 2017.

⁴ National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. V1.2018. Available at http://www.nccn.org. Accessed December 2017.

⁵ National Comprehensive Cancer Network Drugs and Biologics Compendium. Available at: http://www.nccn.org/professionals/drug_compendium. Accessed December 2017.